accuracy.

DISTORTED-WAVE BORN AND DISTORTED-WAVE RYTOV APPROXIMATIONS

G. BEYLKIN and M.L. ORISTAGLIO

Schlumberger-Doll Research, Ridgefield, CT 068774108, USA

Received 4 December 1984

The relation is considered between the distorted-wave Born (DWB) and the distorted-wave Rytov (DWR) approxima tions. Analyzing the Helmholtz equation, it is shown that the formal asymptotic justification of DWB and DWR approxi mations remains the same as that of the ordinary ones. A relation is derived between the first DWB and DWR approximations and an example given to emphasize that these comparimations, though simply related, have quite different ranges of

0 030-4018/85/\$03.30 © Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland Physics Publishing Division)

approximation can be obtained if we seek a solution of eq. (1) in the form

$$
U(x,k) = e^{ik\Phi(x,k)},
$$
\n(5)

where the phase function
$$
\Phi(x,k)
$$
 is a formal series.

$$
\Phi(x,k) = \Phi_0(x,k) + \epsilon \Phi_1(x,k) + \epsilon^2 \Phi_2(x,k) + \dots (6)
$$

Using (5) and (1) we find that the phase function $\Phi(x, k)$ satisfies the equation

$$
(\nabla \Phi)^2 - n^2 + (1/i k) \nabla^2 \Phi = 0. \tag{7}
$$

We now substitute the series (6) in (7), equate the coefficients of powers of ϵ , and arrive at equations for functions $\Phi_i(x,k)$, $j = 0,1$...:

$$
(\nabla \Phi_0)^2 + (1/ik)\nabla^2 \Phi_0 - n_0^2 = 0,
$$

\n
$$
2\nabla \Phi_0 \cdot \nabla \Phi_1 + (1/ik)\nabla^2 \Phi_1 - n_1 = 0,
$$

\n
$$
2\nabla \Phi_0 \cdot \nabla \Phi_2 + (1/ik)\nabla^2 \Phi_2 - n_2 + (\nabla \Phi_1)^2 = 0,
$$

\n... (8)

Eqs. (5) and (6) are the DWR approximation and eqs. (8) show how to compute the consecutive terms of the series for Φ . Let us now compare DWB and DWR approximations. It is easy to estimate the relative error of the mth DWR approximation. Indeed, it follows from (5) and (6) that

$$
(U - U_R^m)/U = 1 - \exp(-ik \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} e^j \Phi_j)
$$

= O(ik $e^{m+1}\Phi_{m+1}$), (9)

where $U_{\mathbf{R}}^{m}$ is the *m*th Rytov approximation,

$$
U_R^m(x,k) = \exp\left(ik \sum_{j=0}^m \epsilon^j \Phi_j(x,k)\right).
$$

To estimate the relative error of the DWB approximation we first establish relations between terms in series in (6) and (3). We have

$$
U(x,k) = e^{ik\Phi_0} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d!} \left(ik \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{j} \Phi_j \right)^d
$$

$$
= e^{ik\Phi_0} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{l}{d=0} \frac{(ik)^d}{d!}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{j_1 + j_2 + ... + j_d = 1} \Phi_{j_1} \Phi_{j_2} ... \Phi_{j_d}.
$$
 (10)

The *m*th DWB approximation is the sum of the $m + 1$ first terms in (10) ,

$$
U_{\rm B}^m = e^{ik\Phi_0} \sum_{l=0}^m \, e^l \sum_{d=0}^l \, \frac{(ik)^d}{d!} \sum_{j_1+j_2+\ldots+j_d=l} \, \Phi_{j_1} \Phi_{j_2} \ldots \Phi_{j_d}.
$$

Thereby, *we* have

$$
(U - U_{\mathbf{B}}^{m})/U = O\left(\epsilon^{m+1} \sum_{d=0}^{m+1} \frac{(\mathrm{i}k)^{d}}{d!} \times \sum_{j_{1}+j_{2}+...+j_{d}=m+1} \Phi_{j_{1}} \Phi_{j_{2}} ... \Phi_{j_{d}}\right)
$$
(11)

Specifying the estimates (9) and (11) to the first DWB and DWR approximations, we have

$$
(U - UR1)/U = 1 - \exp(-ik \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \epsilon^{j} \Phi_{j})
$$

= O(ik\epsilon² \Phi₂), (9a)

and

$$
(U - UB1)/U = \tilde{\bigcirc} (e2(ik\Phi2 - \frac{1}{2}k2\Phi12)).
$$
 (11a)

When x and k are fixed, estimates in (9) and (11) demonstrate that both DWB and DWR approximations are of the same order of accuracy with respect to ϵ . Clearly, however, the errors in these two approximations will behave differently as functions of x and *k.*

Let us consider now the relation between the first DWB and the first DWR approximations. This relation for ordinary Born and Rytov approximations is of importance in linearized inverse scattering problems [7]. We set

$$
\Phi_1 = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{\Phi}_0} W_1 \tag{12}
$$

and obtain from (8) that the function W_1 satisfies the

 \equiv .

Fig. 1. Plane wave incident upon tnt: mterface between two

homogeneous halfspaces.

Volume 53, number 4 **OPTICS COMMUNICATIONS** 15 March 1985

where $n_1(z)$ is described in (17). $\Phi_1(z)$ and its normal derivative d T ₍c)/dz

condition for the field to be outgoing for $z > 0$ we solve Rytov approximation (21) provides a reasonable ans- (19) and arrive at wer. The same conclusion about the behavior of Born $\Phi_1(y,z) \equiv \Phi_1(z)$ and Rytov approximations can be drawn from esti- $=-(\alpha/4ik)\exp(-2ikz\cos\theta), z<0;$ mates (9a) and (11a). Using corresponding equation in (8) we compute the function Φ_2 and obtain \mathbb{R} $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} I_2 & 0 & I_4 & I_5 \end{array} \right)$ $P(X \wedge Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(X_i)$ Therefore, the first Rytov approximation to the field $-\frac{1}{56} \alpha^2 \exp(-4 \mathrm{i} k z \cos \theta) \qquad z < 0$
 $= -\frac{1}{8} \mathrm{i} k z \alpha^2 \cos \theta + \frac{3}{32} \alpha^2, \qquad z > 0.$ <u>is a follows</u> is a follows
 $u^{R}(y, z) = \exp[ik(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta)]$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{2i\frac{kr}{2}cos\theta_1}{1}, \frac{60}{1}$ tion (9a), the estimate of the relative error of the Born $=$ exp[ik(y sin θ + z cos θ) approximation (11a) has an extra term $\frac{1}{2}k^2\Phi_1^2$. It follows from (20) that this term is as follows $+\frac{1}{2}ikz\alpha\cos\theta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha l$, $z > 0$. (21) $\frac{1}{2}k^2\Phi_1^2(z) = -\frac{1}{32}\alpha^2$, $z < 0$; Similar considerations of eq. (4) for the first Born approximation yield $=\frac{1}{8}\alpha^2(kz\cos\theta-1/2i)^2$, $z>0$. $u^{B}(y, z)$ = exp[ik(y sin θ + z cos θ)] which predicts much faster accumulation of error in $-\frac{1}{4}\alpha \exp[i k(y \sin \theta - z \cos \theta)], \quad z < 0;$ the Born approximation compared to the Rytov approximation for the transmitted field $(z > 0)$. $= [1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(1 - 2ikz \cos \theta)]$ (22) $\chi \exp[i k(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta)],$ $z > 0$. **References** Eqs. (21) and (22) are obviously related through (15) . However, for a given value of z , their accuracy is quite [1] M. Born, Z. Physik 38 (1926) 803. 0.11 171 CM Drugge Law Alsol Mr. řní 131 J B Keller J Opt Soc Am 59 (1969) 1003 manan of the tor

matter how small the perturbation is. In contrast, the

[8] A. Nayfeh, Perturbation methods (Wiley, New York, 1973).